

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,358	SHINOHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael J. Feely	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Michael J. Feely.

(3) _____.

(2) James A. Baker (Reg. No. 44,520).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 August 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***n/a***Claims discussed:***all pending***Prior art documents discussed:***Lehmann et al. (US Pat. No. 4,038,454)***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Mr. Baker agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the Examiner's amendment.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J Feely/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)